## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

LEE KNOWLIN,

Petitioner,

Case No. 19-cv-261-pp

v.

LIZZIE TEGELS,

Respondent.

## ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL WITHOUT PREPAYING THE FILING FEE (DKT. NO. 56)

On July 7, 2020, the court granted the respondent's motion to dismiss the petition. Dkt. No. 52. The court entered judgment the same day. Dkt. No. 53. The petitioner filed a notice of appeal on July 17, 2020. Dkt. No. 54. The same day, the petitioner filed a motion for leave to appeal without prepaying the filing fee. Dkt. No. 56.

Under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a)(3), a district court may allow an appellant to proceed without prepaying the appellate filing fee if it finds the appellant to be indigent and the appeal to be taken in good faith. Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). Because the Prison Litigation Reform Act does not apply to habeas cases, Walker v. O'Brien, 216 F.3d 626, 634 (7th Cir. 2000), the court decides whether a habeas appellant is indigent by relying on the information the petitioner provides in his affidavit of indigence. See Martin v. United States, 96 F.3d 853, 855-56 (7th Cir. 1996). The petitioner's affidavit indicates that he

has no prison income, no job and no assets. Dkt. No. 56. The court finds that the petitioner cannot pay the \$505 filing fee.

As for good faith, a district court should not apply an inappropriately high standard when making a good faith determination. Pate v. Stevens, 163 F.3d 437, 439 (7th Cir. 1998). An appeal taken in "good faith" is one that seeks review of any issue that is not frivolous, meaning that it involved "legal points arguable on their merits." Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983) (quoting Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967)); see also Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962).

Although the court declined in its July 7, 2020 order to issue a certificate of appealability, the Seventh Circuit has warned district courts against conflating the good faith and certificate of appealability standards; declining to issue a certificate of appealability "is not enough to explain why the appeal on the merits would not be in good faith, because the standard governing the issuance of a certificate of appealability is not the same as the standard for determining whether an appeal is in good faith. It is more demanding." O'Brien, 216 F.3d at 634. "To determine that an appeal is in good faith, a court need only find that a reasonable person could suppose that the appeal has some merit." Id. On the other hand, an appeal taken in bad faith is one that is based on a frivolous claim—that is, a claim that no reasonable person could suppose has any merit. Lee v. Clinton, 209 F.3d 1025, 1026 (7th Cir. 2000).

The July 7, 2020 order dismissed the petition because the petitioner procedurally defaulted his claims in the Wisconsin courts. The court believes it

is unlikely that the Seventh Circuit will disagree, but it cannot say that no reasonable person could suppose that any of the petitioner's claims have merit. Accordingly, the court cannot conclude that the appeal is frivolous. The court will grant the petitioner's motion for leave to appeal without prepayment of the filing fee.

The court **GRANTS** the petitioner's motion for leave to appeal without prepayment of the filing fee. Dkt. No. 56.

Dated in Milwaukee, Wisconsin this 27th day of October, 2020.

BY THE COURT:

HON. PAMELA PEPPER

Chief United States District Judge